



Attorney Docket No.: 002900/D2/EPIC/EPIC/JW

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

) Group Art Unit: 2818	
Suketu A. Parikh ) Examiner: Berry, Renee R.	
. )	
Serial No.: 09/843,419 Response To Office Action N	<u> 1ailed</u>
Confirmation No. 9329 ) <u>6/30/2004</u>	
Filed: 4/26/01 )	
) PATENT COUNSEL	
For: Techniques For Triple And APPLIED MATERIALS, INC	1.
Quadruple Damascene ) Legal Affairs Department	
Fabrication ) P.O. Box 450 A	
Santa Clara, CA 95052	

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## **RESPONSE**

This response concerning the above-identified application is in response to the Office Action mailed on June 30, 2004.

## AFFIRMATION OF ELECTION OF SPECIES

Applicant hereby affirms the election of claims 33 and 35-55, in response to the Examiner's restriction requirement.

## **DOUBLE PATENTING**

The Examiner rejected claims 33 and 35-55 "under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,225,207." A Terminal Disclaimer To Obviate A Double Patenting Rejection which applicant believes to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) is enclosed. As evidenced in the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer, the above-identified patent application and U.S. Patent No. 6,225,207 are both owned by Applied Materials, Inc. The inventor of the above-identified patent application and U.S. Patent No. 6,225,207 is Suketu A. Parikh.

Applicant believes that the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer overcomes the Examiner's rejection of claims 33 and 35-55. Applicant therefore respectfully submits that claims 33 and 35-55 are in a condition for allowance under obviousness-type double patenting.